

n -LEVEL DENSITY OF THE LOW-LYING ZEROS OF QUADRATIC DIRICHLET L -FUNCTIONS

PENG GAO

ABSTRACT. The Density Conjecture of Katz and Sarnak associates a classical compact group to each reasonable family of L -functions. Under the assumption of the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis, Rubinstein computed the n -level density of low-lying zeros for the family of quadratic Dirichlet L -functions in the case that the Fourier transform $\hat{f}(u)$ of any test function f is supported in the region $\sum_{j=1}^n u_j < 1$ and showed that the result agrees with the Density Conjecture. In this paper, we improve Rubinstein's result on computing the n -level density for the Fourier transform $\hat{f}(u)$ being supported in the region $\sum_{j=1}^n u_j < 2$.

CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Statement of the Result and Outline of the proof	2
2.1.	Outline of the Proof of Theorem 2.1	4
3.	The Proof of Theorem 2.1	8
3.1.	The Term S_R	8
3.2.	The Term S_M	11
3.3.	The First Main Term	12
3.4.	The Error Term	13
3.5.	The Second Main Term	17
3.6.	The Asymptotic Expression	19
3.7.	Conclusion	20
	Acknowledgement	21
	References	21

1. INTRODUCTION

The celebrated Riemann Hypothesis (RH) asserts that every non-trivial zero of the Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s)$ is of the form $\rho = 1/2 + i\gamma$, with γ real. One possible approach towards establishing RH was suggested by Pólya and Hilbert long time ago, who conjectured that one should find a Hilbert space and a suitable linear operator acting on it whose spectrum is given by the non-trivial zeros of $\zeta(s)$. Then depending on the properties of the operator, the zeros are forced to lie on a line.

Montgomery [15] initiated the study of the local spacings of the zeros of $\zeta(s)$. Assuming RH, he derived, under certain restrictions, the pair correlation of the zeros of $\zeta(s)$ and made the famous pair correlation conjecture. His result drew the attention of Dyson, who pointed out that the eigenvalues of Gaussian Unitary Ensemble (GUE) have the same pair correlation as $N \rightarrow \infty$. With this insight, Montgomery went on to conjecture (the GUE Conjecture) that all the correlations would match up for the zeros of $\zeta(s)$ and eigenvalues of Hermitian matrices. Montgomery's pair correlation result

Date: June 30 , 2008.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11M26.

then provides the first evidence in favor of the spectral interpretation of the zeros, suggesting that the relevant operator might be Hermitian.

Rudnick and Sarnak [20] studied the distribution of zeros of general L -functions. According to the Langlands program (see [4], [16], [10]), all L -functions can be written as products of “standard” L -functions $L(s, \pi)$ attached to automorphic cuspidal representations of GL_M over $\mathbb{Q}$. The Generalized Riemann Hypothesis (GRH) for $L(s, \pi)$ asserts that the non-trivial zeros of $L(s, \pi)$ all lie on the line $\Re s = 1/2$ when properly normalized. The result of Rudnick and Sarnak [20] asserts that the $n \geq 2$ correlations of the zeros of $L(s, \pi)$ (assuming GRH and with some restrictions) are universally the GUE ones. Their result is confirmed by the numerical work of Rumely [21] for Dirichlet L -functions and of Rubinstein [18] for a variety of $GL_2/\mathbb{Q}$ L -functions.

On the random matrix theory side, Katz and Sarnak showed [8] that the local spacing statistics for the following types of spaces are universally GUE: (special) orthogonal $SO(\text{odd})$, $SO(\text{even})$, (special) unitary $SU(N)$ and (unitary) symplectic $USp(2N)$ groups. In contrast to this, they also showed that the distributions of the eigenvalues nearest to 1 is sensitive to the particular symmetry of the group. Based on this and studies on the function field analogues, Katz and Sarnak conjecture (the Density Conjecture, [9, p. 20]) that to each reasonable family $\mathcal{F}$ of L -functions (by which we mean a collection of geometric objects and their associated L -functions, where the geometric objects have similar properties), one can associate a classical compact group $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{F})$ mentioned above, such that the behavior of zeros near the central point $s = 1/2$ (the low-lying zeros) of L -functions in $\mathcal{F}$ is the same as the behavior of eigenvalues near 1 of matrices in $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{F})$. This conjecture further suggests that in terms of the spectral interpretation, the relevant operators for L -functions should have some symmetry corresponding to the relevant classical group.

The 1-level density for quadratic twists of $\zeta(s)$ was obtained by Özlük and Snyder [17]. A stronger result which applies for $\zeta(s)$ as well as all $L(s, \pi)$ was obtained by N. Katz and Sarnak [9]. The general case $n \geq 1$ was obtained by Rubinstein [19]. Results are also obtained for a wide variety of families of L -functions, see [8], [7], [14], [5], [2] and [6]. In all the results above, the Fourier transforms for test functions are assumed to be compactly supported in certain regions. In this paper, we will study the n -level density of the low-lying zeros of quadratic Dirichlet L -functions. This family of L -functions was studied by Rubinstein in [19]. Our result in this paper extends the one of Rubinstein’s by enlarging the region in which the Fourier transform for the test function is supported. In the next section, we shall give a detailed description of the problem studied in this paper and give an explanation on the method being used in the proof of the main result. Section 3 will be devoted entirely for the proof of our main result of the paper.

2. STATEMENT OF THE RESULT AND OUTLINE OF THE PROOF

In this paper, we consider the average behavior of the low-lying non-trivial zeros of a family of L -functions hoping to find evidence in favor of the Density Conjecture. We focus on the quadratic twists of $\zeta(s)$, $\{L(s, \chi_d)\}$ as our family of L -functions, where $\chi_d(n) = (\frac{d}{n})$ is the Jacobi symbol. We recall here all real (quadratic) non-principal characters are of the form $\chi_d(n)$, where d belongs to the set Q of quadratic discriminants, $Q = \{d : d \text{ is not a square and } d \equiv 0 \text{ or } 1 \pmod{4}\}$. The character $\chi_d(n)$ is induced by a primitive character $\chi_{d'}(n)$ where d' belongs to the set F of fundamental discriminants, $F = \{d : d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, d \text{ square-free}\} \cup \{d : 4|d, d/4 \equiv 2 \text{ or } 3 \pmod{4}, d/4 \text{ square-free}\}$ (see [1, §5]).

To facilitate our study, it is more convenient to consider the family of $\{L(s, \chi_{8d})\}$ with odd, positive square-free d . We may thus avoid the possible impact of χ_2 in our study and it follows from the discussions in the proceeding paragraph that χ_{8d} is a real, primitive character with conductor $8d$ and $\chi_{8d}(-1) = 1$. Let D denote the set of such d ’s, and let $D(X) = \{d \in D : X \leq d \leq 2X\}$. It’s easy to see that the cardinality $|D(X)|$ of $D(X)$ is asymptotically $4X/\pi^2$. In this case, the Density Conjecture suggests a unitary symplectic symmetry and our main result below (Theorem 2.1) or its corollary provides an evidence for it.

Let h be a function on $\mathbb{R}$, we say that h tends to 0 rapidly at infinity (or rapidly decreasing) if for each positive integer m , the function $x \mapsto (1 + |x|)^m h(x)$ is bounded for $|x|$ sufficiently large. We define the Schwartz space $S(\mathbb{R})$ to be the set of functions on $\mathbb{R}$ which are infinitely differentiable, and which tend to 0 rapidly at infinity, as well as their derivatives of all orders (see [11, Chap. VIII, §4]).

Now for each f_i even and in $S(\mathbb{R})$, we write

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= \prod_{i=1}^n f_i(x_i), \\ \hat{f}(u_1, \dots, u_n) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \hat{f}_i(u_i). \end{aligned}$$

Throughout the paper, we assume GRH and write the non-trivial zeros of $L(s, \chi_{8d})$ as

$$\frac{1}{2} + i\gamma_{8d}^{(j)}, j = \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots,$$

where

$$0 \leq \gamma_{8d}^{(1)} \leq \gamma_{8d}^{(2)} \leq \gamma_{8d}^{(3)} \dots$$

and

$$(2.1) \quad \gamma_{8d}^{(-j)} = -\gamma_{8d}^{(j)}.$$

Let $N(T, 8d)$ denote the number of $\gamma_{8d}^{(j)}$'s with $|\gamma_{8d}^{(j)}| < T$, then we have (see [1, §16]) for $T \geq 2$

$$N(T, 8d) = \frac{T}{\pi} \log\left(\frac{8dT}{2\pi}\right) - \frac{T}{\pi} + O(\log T + \log(8d)).$$

We note here the above formula doesn't provide us much information when T is close to 1, since then the main term and the error term are of the same size. However, by taking $T = 1$ in $N(T, 8d)$ one may think that there are about $\log(8d)/\pi$ many zeros up to height 1 so that the mean spacing between them is $2\pi/\log(8d)$, which is also likely to be the height of the lowest zero. Thus by scaling back this height to 1, and note that d is of size X , we are then interested in studying the asymptotic behavior of

$$\lim_{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\pi^2}{4X} \sum_{d \in D(X)} \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n}^* f(L\gamma_{8d}^{(j_1)}, L\gamma_{8d}^{(j_2)}, \dots, L\gamma_{8d}^{(j_n)})$$

with $L = \log X/(2\pi)$ and $\sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n}^*$ is over $j_k = \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$, with $j_{k_1} \neq \pm j_{k_2}$ if $k_1 \neq k_2$. Note that since f is rapidly decreasing, only the low-lying zeros contribute to the sums above. The Density Conjecture predicts that

$$(2.2) \quad \lim_{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\pi^2}{4X} \sum_{d \in D(X)} \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n}^* f(L\gamma_{8d}^{(j_1)}, L\gamma_{8d}^{(j_2)}, \dots, L\gamma_{8d}^{(j_n)}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) W_{\text{USp}}^{(n)}(x) dx,$$

where

$$W_{\text{USp}}^{(n)}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \det(K_{-1}(x_j, x_k))_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq n, \\ 1 \leq k \leq n}},$$

and

$$K_{-1}(x, y) = \frac{\sin(\pi(x - y))}{x - y} - \frac{\sin(\pi(x + y))}{x + y}.$$

Rubinstein [19, Theorem 3.1] has shown that (2.2) holds for $\hat{f}(u_1, \dots, u_n)$ being supported in the region $\sum_{i=1}^n |u_i| < 1$. Presumably, (2.2) also holds for f being compactly supported (by the Density Conjecture). However, since f and $\hat{f}$ can't both be compactly supported, we can't approximate a compactly supported function by functions with compactly supported Fourier transforms. Thus one needs to prove (2.2) for the support of $\hat{f}$ being as large as possible. The main result in this

paper is an evaluation of the left-hand side of (2.2) for $\hat{f}$ supported in $\sum_{i=1}^n |u_i| < 2$, which is given in the following:

Theorem 2.1. *Assume GRH and assume in (2.2) that each f_i is even and in $S(\mathbb{R})$ and $\hat{f}$ is supported in $\sum_{i=1}^n |u_i| < 2$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.3) \quad & \lim_{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\pi^2}{4X} \sum_{d \in D(X)} \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n}^* f(L\gamma_{8d}^{(j_1)}, L\gamma_{8d}^{(j_2)}, \dots, L\gamma_{8d}^{(j_n)}) \\
&= \sum_{\underline{F}} (-2)^{n-\nu(\underline{F})} \left(\prod_{l=1}^{\nu(\underline{F})} (|F_l| - 1)! \right) \sum_S \left(\prod_{l \in S^c} \int_{\mathbb{R}} F_l(x) dx \right) \\
&\quad \cdot \sum_{S_2 \subseteq S} \left(\left(\frac{-1}{2} \right)^{|S_2^c|} \prod_{l \in S_2^c} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{F}_l(u) du \right) \\
&\quad \cdot \left(\left(\frac{1 + (-1)^{|S_2|}}{2} \right) 2^{\frac{|S_2|}{2}} \sum_{(A;B)} \prod_{i=1}^{|S_2|/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u_i| \hat{F}_{a_i}(u_i) \hat{F}_{b_i}(u_i) du_i \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{S_3 \subsetneq S_2 \\ |S_3| \text{ even}}} 2^{\frac{|S_3|}{2}} \left(\sum_{(C;D)} \prod_{i=1}^{|S_3|/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u_i| \hat{F}_{c_i}(u_i) \hat{F}_{d_i}(u_i) du_i \right) \right) \\
&\quad \cdot \sum_{I \subsetneq S_3^c} (-1)^{|I|} (-2)^{|S_3^c|} \prod_{i \in I} \int_0^\infty \hat{F}_i(u_i) du_i \int_{\sum_{i \in I} u_i \leq \sum_{i \in I^c} u_i - 1} (\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})^{I^c} \prod_{i \in I^c} \hat{F}_i(u_i) du_i \Big),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\underline{F}$ ranges over all ways of decomposing $\{1, \dots, n\}$ into disjoint subsets $\{F_1, \dots, F_{\nu(\underline{F})}\}$ with

$$(2.4) \quad F_l(x) = \prod_{i \in F_l} f_i(x),$$

S ranges over all $2^{\nu(\underline{F})}$ subsets of $\{1, 2, \dots, \nu(\underline{F})\}$ and S^c denotes the complement of S . Here $\sum_{(A;B)}$ is over all ways of pairing up the elements of S_2 and $\sum_{(C;D)}$ is over all ways of pairing up the elements of S_3 . Empty products are taken to be 1.

Unfortunately, we are not able to show the above result matches with the right-hand side of (2.2) in general. In the case of $n \leq 3$, one can show by direct computation that our result above does match with the right-hand side of (2.2). As the proof is not particularly enlightening, we shall omit it here by stating the following result and refer the interested reader to [3] for the proof:

Corollary 2.2. *Assume GRH. For $n \leq 3$, equality holds in (2.2) if each f_i is even and in $S(\mathbb{R})$ and $\hat{f}$ is supported in $\sum_{i=1}^n |u_i| < 2$.*

We note here one can also check that Theorem 2.1 implies Rubinstein's result.

2.1. Outline of the Proof of Theorem 2.1. Our approach of proving Theorem 2.1 relies on the following explicit formula, which essentially converts the sum over zeros of the L -function to the sum over primes, the one we use here follows from [20, (2.16)]:

Lemma 2.3. *Let h be a function on $\mathbb{R}$ with $\hat{h}$ smooth and compactly supported. Then*

$$(2.5) \quad \sum_j h(L\gamma_{8d}^{(j)}) = \int_{-\infty}^\infty h(t) dt - \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \hat{h}(u) du - S(d, X; \hat{h}) + O\left(\frac{\log \log X}{\log X}\right),$$

with the implicit constant depending on h and

$$S(d, X; \hat{h}) = \frac{1}{\log X} \sum_p \frac{\log p}{\sqrt{p}} \left(\frac{8d}{p} \right) \left(\hat{h}\left(\frac{\log p}{\log X}\right) + \hat{h}\left(\frac{-\log p}{\log X}\right) \right).$$

Note that $f_i(-x) = f_i(x)$ implies that

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{\pi^2}{4X} \sum_{d \in D(X)} \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n}^* f(L\gamma_{8d}^{(j_1)}, L\gamma_{8d}^{(j_2)}, \dots, L\gamma_{8d}^{(j_n)}) \\ &= \frac{2^n \pi^2}{4X} \sum_{d \in D(X)} \sum_{\substack{j_1, \dots, j_n \\ \text{positive} \\ \text{and} \\ \text{distinct}}} \tilde{f}_{8d}(j_1, \dots, j_n), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\tilde{f}_{8d}(j_1, \dots, j_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n f_i(L\gamma_{8d}^{(j_i)}).$$

The sum in (2.6) is over distinct indices $j_1, \dots, j_n$. In order to apply Lemma 2.3 to (2.6), we would prefer to have a sum over all indices. To remove the distinctive condition, we use a combinatorial sieving as in [20, p. 305]. We begin with some set-theoretic combinatorics. A set partition $\underline{F}$ of $\underline{N} = \{1, \dots, n\}$ is a decomposition of $\underline{N}$ into disjoint subsets $\{F_1, \dots, F_{\nu(\underline{F})}\}$. The collection Π_n of all set partitions of $\underline{N}$ forms a lattice with the partial ordering given by $\underline{F} \preceq \underline{G}$ if every subset G_i of $\underline{G}$ is a union of subsets of $\underline{F}$. The minimal element of Π_n is $\underline{Q} = \{\{1\}, \{2\}, \dots, \{n\}\}$ and the maximal element is $\underline{N} = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

The Möbius function of a poset such as Π_n is the unique function $\mu(x, y)$ so that for any functions $g, h : \Pi_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, satisfying

$$g(x) = \sum_{x \preceq y} h(y),$$

we have

$$h(x) = \sum_{x \preceq y} \mu(x, y) g(y).$$

In the case of Π_n , the Möbius function can be computed explicitly [12, §25], in particular

$$\mu(\underline{Q}, \underline{F}) = \prod_{j=1}^{\nu(\underline{F})} (-1)^{|F_j|-1} (|F_j| - 1)!.$$

Given a set partition $\underline{F} = \{F_1, \dots, F_{\nu(\underline{F})}\} \in \Pi_n$, we have an embedding $l_{\underline{F}} : \mathbb{R}^{\nu} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, $l_{\underline{F}}(x_1, \dots, x_{\nu(\underline{F})}) = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ with $y_i = x_j$ if $i \in F_j$. For example, for $n = 3$, the possible $\underline{F}$'s are $\{\{1, 2, 3\}\}$, $\{\{1, 2\}, \{3\}\}$, $\{\{1, 3\}, \{2\}\}$, $\{\{1\}, \{2, 3\}\}$, $\{\{1\}, \{2\}, \{3\}\}$ and $l_{\{\{1\}, \{2, 3\}\}}(x_1, x_2) = (x_1, x_2, x_2)$.

We now define

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\underline{F}}(f) &= \sum_{\substack{j_1, \dots, j_n \\ \text{positive} \\ \text{and} \\ \text{distinct}}} \tilde{f}_{8d}(l_{\underline{F}}(j_1, \dots, j_{\nu(\underline{F})})), \\ C_{\underline{F}}(f) &= \sum_{\substack{j_1, \dots, j_n \\ \text{positive}}} \tilde{f}_{8d}(l_{\underline{F}}(j_1, \dots, j_{\nu(\underline{F})})). \end{aligned}$$

Note that the inner sum on the right-hand side of (2.6) is just $R_{\underline{Q}}(f)$ and observe that for any $\underline{G} \in \Pi_n$,

$$C_{\underline{G}}(f) = \sum_{\underline{G} \prec \underline{F}} R_{\underline{F}}(f).$$

This is merely partitioning the unrestricted sum for $C_{\underline{G}}$ as a sum over the various possibilities for coincidences between the indices. Thus we can use Möbius inversion to express $R_{\underline{Q}}(f)$ in terms of the sums $C_{\underline{F}}(f)$:

$$R_{\underline{Q}}(f) = \sum_{\underline{F}} \mu(\underline{Q}, \underline{F}) C_{\underline{F}}(f),$$

so that the right-hand side of (2.6) can be expressed as

$$\frac{2^n \pi^2}{4X} \sum_{d \in D(X)} \sum_{\underline{F}} (-1)^{n-\nu(\underline{F})} \left(\prod_{l=1}^{\nu(\underline{F})} (|F_l| - 1)! \right) \sum_{\substack{j_1, \dots, j_n \\ \text{positive}}} \tilde{f}_{8d}(l_{\underline{F}}(j_1, \dots, j_{\nu(\underline{F})})),$$

where $\underline{F}$ ranges over all ways of decomposing $\{1, \dots, n\}$ into disjoint subsets $\{F_1, \dots, F_{\nu(\underline{F})}\}$. By (2.1) and because we are assuming that the f_i 's are even, we can recast the inner sum above as going over all the $\gamma_{8d}^{(j)}$'s (instead of $j > 0$) with the presence of a factor $1/2^{\nu(\underline{F})}$. Thus, (2.6) becomes

$$(2.7) \quad \frac{2^n \pi^2}{4X} \sum_{d \in D(X)} \sum_{\underline{F}} \frac{(-1)^{n-\nu(\underline{F})}}{2^{\nu(\underline{F})}} \prod_{l=1}^{\nu(\underline{F})} \left((|F_l| - 1)! \sum_{\gamma_{8d}} \prod_{i \in F_l} f_i(L\gamma_{8d}) \right).$$

We write

$$F_l(x) = \prod_{i \in F_l} f_i(x),$$

so that we can express (2.7) as

$$\frac{2^n \pi^2}{4X} \sum_{d \in D(X)} \sum_{\underline{F}} \frac{(-1)^{n-\nu(\underline{F})}}{2^{\nu(\underline{F})}} \prod_{l=1}^{\nu(\underline{F})} \left((|F_l| - 1)! \sum_{\gamma_{8d}} F_l(L\gamma_{8d}) \right).$$

By the explicit formula (2.5), we now arrive at the following (note that $\prod_{l=1}^{\nu(\underline{F})} \hat{F}_l(u_l)$ is supported in $\sum_{l=1}^{\nu(\underline{F})} |u_l| < 2$, see Lemma 3.6 below):

Proposition 2.1. *Assume each f_i in (2.2) is even and in $S(\mathbb{R})$ and $\hat{f}$ is supported in $\sum_{i=1}^n |u_i| < 2$, then*

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{\pi^2}{4X} \sum_{d \in D(X)} \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n}^* f(L\gamma_{8d}^{(j_1)}, L\gamma_{8d}^{(j_2)}, \dots, L\gamma_{8d}^{(j_n)}) \\ &= \frac{\pi^2}{4X} \sum_{d \in D(X)} \sum_{\underline{F}} (-2)^{n-\nu(\underline{F})} \prod_{l=1}^{\nu(\underline{F})} (|F_l| - 1)! \left(C_l + D_l + O\left(\frac{\log \log X}{\log X}\right) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where (note that $\hat{F}_l(x)$ is even since each f_i is even),

$$\begin{aligned} C_l &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} F_l(x) dx, \\ D_l &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{F}_l(u) du - \frac{2}{\log X} \sum_p \frac{\log p}{\sqrt{p}} \left(\frac{8d}{p} \right) \hat{F}_l\left(\frac{\log p}{\log X}\right). \end{aligned}$$

For the moment, we consider the expression in (2.8) without the term $O(\frac{\log \log X}{\log X})$. When we expand the product over l , we obtain $2^{\nu(\underline{F})}$ terms, each a product of C_l 's and D_l 's. A typical term can be written as

$$\prod_{l \in S^c} C_l \prod_{l \in S} D_l$$

for some subset S of $\{1, 2, \dots, \nu(\underline{F})\}$. (Empty products are taken to be 1.) The product of the C_l 's contributes a factor of

$$\prod_{l \in S^c} \int_{\mathbb{R}} F_l(x) dx.$$

In order to evaluate the contribution of the product of the D_l 's, we first focus on finding the asymptotic expression of (with $n \geq 1$)

$$S(X, Y; \prod_{i=1}^n \hat{g}_i) := \sum_{\substack{X \leq d \leq 2X \\ (d, 2)=1}} \mu^2(d) \sum_{\prod_{i=1}^n p_i \leq Y} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\log p_i}{\sqrt{p_i}} \left(\frac{8d}{p_i} \right) \hat{g}_i \left(\frac{\log p_i}{\log X} \right) \right),$$

where $\hat{g}_i(u_i)$'s are smooth and supported on $\sum_{i=1}^n |u_i| < 2 - \epsilon$ with ϵ to be specified later. To emphasis this condition, here and throughout we shall set $Y = X^{2-\epsilon}$ and write the condition $\prod_{i=1}^n p_i \leq Y$ explicitly. In place of $S(X, Y; \prod_{i=1}^n \hat{g}_i)$ it is technically easier to consider the smoothed sum

$$S(X, Y; \prod_{i=1}^n \hat{g}_i, \Phi) := \sum_{(d, 2)=1} \mu^2(d) \sum_{\prod_{i=1}^n p_i \leq Y} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\log p_i}{\sqrt{p_i}} \left(\frac{8d}{p_i} \right) \hat{g}_i \left(\frac{\log p_i}{\log X} \right) \right) \Phi \left(\frac{d}{X} \right),$$

where Φ is a smooth function supported on $(1, 2)$, satisfying $\Phi(t) = 1$ for $t \in (1 + 1/U, 2 - 1/U)$, and such that $\Phi^{(j)}(t) \ll_j U^j$ for all integers $j \geq 0$.

Let $Z > 1$ be a real parameter to be chosen later and write $\mu^2(d) = M_Z(d) + R_Z(d)$ where

$$M_Z(d) = \sum_{\substack{l^2 | d \\ l \leq Z}} \mu(l), \quad R_Z(d) = \sum_{\substack{l^2 | d \\ l > Z}} \mu(l).$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned} S_M(X, Y; \prod_{i=1}^n \hat{g}_i, \Phi) &= \sum_{(d, 2)=1} M_Z(d) \sum_{\prod_{i=1}^n p_i \leq Y} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\log p_i}{\sqrt{p_i}} \left(\frac{8d}{p_i} \right) \hat{g}_i \left(\frac{\log p_i}{\log X} \right) \right) \Phi \left(\frac{d}{X} \right), \\ S_R(X, Y; \prod_{i=1}^n \hat{g}_i, \Phi) &= \sum_{(d, 2)=1} R_Z(d) \sum_{\prod_{i=1}^n p_i \leq Y} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\log p_i}{\sqrt{p_i}} \left(\frac{8d}{p_i} \right) \hat{g}_i \left(\frac{\log p_i}{\log X} \right) \right) \Phi \left(\frac{d}{X} \right), \end{aligned}$$

so that $S(X, Y; \prod_{i=1}^n \hat{g}_i, \Phi) = S_M(X, Y; \prod_{i=1}^n \hat{g}_i, \Phi) + S_R(X, Y; \prod_{i=1}^n \hat{g}_i, \Phi)$.

Using standard techniques, we can show that by choosing U and Z properly, both the terms $S_R(X, Y; \prod_{i=1}^n \hat{g}_i, \Phi)$ and $|S(X, Y; \prod_{i=1}^n \hat{g}_i) - S(X, Y; \prod_{i=1}^n \hat{g}_i, \Phi)|$ are small. Hence the main term arises only from $S_M(X, Y; \prod_{i=1}^n \hat{g}_i, \Phi)$. We write it as

$$\begin{aligned} (2.9) \quad S_M(X, Y; \prod_{i=1}^n \hat{g}_i, \Phi) &= \sum_{\prod_{i=1}^n p_i \leq Y} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\log p_i}{\sqrt{p_i}} \left(\frac{8}{p_i} \right) \hat{g}_i \left(\frac{\log p_i}{\log X} \right) \right) \left(\sum_{(d, 2)=1} M_Z(d) \left(\frac{d}{\prod_{i=1}^n p_i} \right) \Phi \left(\frac{d}{X} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

From the above one can see that the problem of obtaining an asymptotic expression of the term $S_M(X, Y; \prod_{i=1}^n \hat{g}_i, \Phi)$ is reduced to an evaluation of certain character sums, specifically,

$$\sum_{(d,2)=1} M_Z(d) \left(\frac{d}{\prod_{i=1}^n p_i} \right) \Phi\left(\frac{d}{X}\right).$$

To help understanding the situation, we consider a simple case, $Z = 1$ here and we also replace $\prod_{i=1}^n p_i$ with P in the above sum, with no constraint on the value of P . Hence we are lead to consider the smoothed character sum

$$(2.10) \quad \sum_{(d,2)=1} \left(\frac{8d}{P} \right) \Phi\left(\frac{d}{X}\right).$$

We certainly expect the above sum is large when $P = \square$, in which case the character $(\frac{\cdot}{P})$ is principal.

When $P \neq \square$, we note that the length of our character sum is of size X and when $P \leq X$, we are dealing with a long character sum and therefore we expect substantial cancellations. This is exactly what was carried out in Rubinstein's work [19].

When $P \neq \square$ and $X < P$, we are dealing with a short character sum. Our idea then is to apply the Poisson summation formula (see Lemma 3.3 below) to convert (2.10) to another sum:

$$\sum_{(d,2)=1} \left(\frac{8d}{P} \right) \Phi\left(\frac{d}{X}\right) = \frac{X}{2P} \left(\frac{16}{P} \right) \sum_m (-1)^m G_m(P) \tilde{\Phi}\left(\frac{mX}{2P}\right),$$

with the definition of G_m and $\tilde{\Phi}$ given in Lemma 3.2 and (3.3), respectively. Here $\tilde{\Phi}(x)$ can be thought as being supported in $|x| < 1$ and $G_m(P)$ can be treated as a character. Hence we have converted (2.10) to another character sum with length of size P/X and we expect to obtain a better estimation this way as long as $P/X < X$ or $P < X^2$, which is exactly why we can have $\sum_{i=1}^n |u_i| < 2$ in Theorem 2.1.

3. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 2.1

3.1. The Term S_R . We recall here in Chapter 2 we have defined

$$S_R(X, Y; \prod_{i=1}^n \hat{g}_i, \Phi) = \sum_{(d,2)=1} R_Z(d) \sum_{\prod_{i=1}^n p_i \leq Y} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\log p_i}{\sqrt{p_i}} \left(\frac{8d}{p_i} \right) \hat{g}_i\left(\frac{\log p_i}{\log X}\right) \right) \Phi\left(\frac{d}{X}\right).$$

We will show in this section that this is negligible. As a preparation, we first seek a bound for

$$E(U; \chi, \prod_{i=1}^n \hat{g}_i) = \sum_{\prod_{i=1}^n p_i \leq U} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\log p_i}{\sqrt{p_i}} \chi(p_i) \hat{g}_i\left(\frac{\log p_i}{\log X}\right) \right),$$

for any non-principal quadratic character χ with modulus q and $U \leq X^2$. We have the following

Proposition 3.1. *Assume GRH. For any non-principal quadratic character χ with modulus q and $U \leq X^2$ and with $\prod_{i=1}^n \hat{g}_i$ smooth and supported in $\sum_{i=1}^n |u_i| < 2$,*

$$E(U; \chi, \prod_{i=1}^n \hat{g}_i) \ll \log^{2n+1}(qX).$$

Proof. Both the estimation and the method we use for the proof are standard and we include a proof here for completeness. We first assume $2 \leq U \leq X^2$ and note the following discontinuous

integral [1, §17]:

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(c)} \frac{y^s}{s} ds = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 0 < y < 1, \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } y = 1, \\ 1 & \text{if } y > 1, \end{cases}$$

where $c > 0$ and that [1, §17] for $y > 0, c > 0, T > 0$,

$$\int_{(c)} \frac{y^s}{s} ds - \int_{c-iT}^{c+iT} \frac{y^s}{s} ds \ll \begin{cases} y^c \min(1, T^{-1} |\log y|^{-1}) & \text{if } y \neq 1, \\ cT^{-1} & \text{if } y = 1. \end{cases}$$

Using the above, we can recast $E(U; \chi, \prod_{i=1}^n \hat{g}_i)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} (3.1) \quad & E(U; \chi, \prod_{i=1}^n \hat{g}_i) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-iT}^{c+iT} \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_p \frac{\log p}{p^{s+1/2}} \chi(p) \hat{g}_i\left(\frac{\log p}{\log X}\right) \right) U^s \frac{ds}{s} \\ & \quad + O\left(\left(\frac{c}{T} + 1\right) \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ \prod_{i=1}^n p_i = U}}^n \frac{\log p_i}{\sqrt{p_i}}\right) \\ & \quad + O\left(\sum_{\prod_{i=1}^n p_i \leq X^2} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\log p_i}{\sqrt{p_i}}\right) \left(\frac{U}{\prod_{i=1}^n p_i}\right)^c \min(1, T^{-1} |\log \frac{U}{\prod_{i=1}^n p_i}|^{-1})\right). \end{aligned}$$

For the first error term above, we may assume U is an integer and we use $\log p/\sqrt{p} \ll p^{-1/2+\delta}$ for an arbitrarily small number δ so that the each single product is of size $\ll U^{-1/2+\delta}$ and there are at most $(\omega(U))^n$ choices for selecting the p_i 's. Here $\omega(m)$ denotes the number of distinct primes dividing m and it's well-known that for $m \geq 3$,

$$\omega(m) \ll \frac{\log m}{\log \log m}.$$

It follows from this that the error term is of size

$$\ll \left(\frac{c}{T} + 1\right) U^{-1/2+\delta}.$$

Note that $|\log(U/\prod_{i=1}^n p_i)|$ is bounded below for $\prod_{i=1}^n p_i \geq \frac{5}{4}U$ or $\prod_{i=1}^n p_i \leq \frac{3}{4}U$ and those $\prod_{i=1}^n p_i$ in this range contribute to the second error term above

$$\ll \frac{U^c X \log^{n-1} X}{T}.$$

For the terms $\frac{3}{4}U < \prod_{i=1}^n p_i < U$, we note that

$$\log \frac{U}{\prod_{i=1}^n p_i} = -\log\left(1 - \frac{U - \prod_{i=1}^n p_i}{U}\right) \geq \frac{U - \prod_{i=1}^n p_i}{U}.$$

Hence these terms contribute

$$\left(\max_{3U/4 \leq m \leq U} \omega(m)\right)^n \left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^c \frac{U}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{U/4} \frac{1}{i} \ll \left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^c \frac{U \log^{n+1} U}{T}.$$

Similarly, the terms $U < \prod_{i=1}^n p_i < \frac{5}{4}U$ contribute

$$\ll \frac{U \log^{n+1} U}{T}.$$

It remains to treat the integral on the right-hand side of (3.1). We first look at the case when χ is primitive. Note for any fixed $|t| \leq T$, $V \geq 2$,

$$\sum_{p \leq V} \frac{\log p}{p^{it}} \chi(p) = \sum_{m \leq V} \frac{\Lambda(m)}{m^{it}} \chi(m) + O(V^{1/2} \log V).$$

Now write for $c_1 = 1 + 1/\log V$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m \leq V} \frac{\Lambda(m)}{m^{it}} \chi(m) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(c_1)} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda(m)}{m^{s+it}} \chi(m) V^s \frac{ds}{s} + O(\log V) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(c_1)} -\frac{L'(s+it, \chi)}{L(s+it, \chi)} V^s \frac{ds}{s} + O(\log V). \end{aligned}$$

Similar as in [1, §19] and assume GRH, we have for $T_1 > |t| + 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(c_1)} -\frac{L'(s+it, \chi)}{L(s+it, \chi)} V^s \frac{ds}{s} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c_1-iT_1}^{c_1+iT_1} -\frac{L'(s+it, \chi)}{L(s+it, \chi)} V^s \frac{ds}{s} + O\left(\frac{V \log^2 V}{T_1} + \log V\right) \\ &= - \sum_{|\gamma-t| < T_1} \frac{V^{\rho-it}}{\rho-it} + O\left(\frac{V \log^2(q(T_1-|t|))}{(T_1-|t|) \log V} + \frac{V \log^2 V}{T_1} + \log V + \log^2 q\right) \end{aligned}$$

where $\rho = 1/2 + i\gamma$ denotes a non-trivial zero of the L -function. Let $N(T, \chi)$ denote the number of zeros of $L(s, \chi)$ in the rectangle $0 < \sigma < 1, |t| < T$. By [1, §16], $N(T+1, \chi) - N(T, \chi) \ll \log(qT)$ so that

$$\sum_{|\gamma-t| < T_1} \frac{V^{\rho-it}}{\rho-it} \ll V^{1/2} \log(q(T_1+|t|)) \sum_{i \leq 2T_1} \frac{1}{i} \leq V^{1/2} \log^2(q(T_1+|t|)).$$

For our application here, we will take $V \leq T = X^2$. Hence upon taking $T_1 = X^4$, we obtain

$$\sum_{p \leq V} \frac{\log p}{p^{it}} \chi(p) \ll V^{1/2} \log^2(qX).$$

The above holds also for $1 \leq V < 2$, hence by partial summation, for χ primitive, $c = 1/\log X, |t| \leq T, 1 \leq i \leq n$,

$$(3.2) \quad \sum_{p_i} \frac{\log p_i}{p_i} \chi(p) \hat{g}_i\left(\frac{\log p_i}{\log X}\right) \ll \log^2(qX).$$

Now for χ induced by χ_1 with modulus $q_1|q$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{p_i} \frac{\log p_i}{p_i} \chi(p) \hat{g}_i\left(\frac{\log p_i}{\log X}\right) - \sum_{p_i} \frac{\log p_i}{p_i} \chi_1(p) \hat{g}_i\left(\frac{\log p_i}{\log X}\right) \\ &\ll \sum_{p_i|q} \log p_i \ll \log q. \end{aligned}$$

Hence (3.2) still holds in this case and on setting $c = 1/\log X, T = X^2$, we obtain for $2 \leq U \leq X^2$,

$$E(U; \chi, \prod_{i=1}^n \hat{g}_i) \ll \log^{2n}(qX) \int_1^T \frac{dt}{t} + O(\log^{2n}(qX) \log X) \ll \log^{2n+1}(qX).$$

Note that $E(U; \chi, \prod_{i=1}^n \hat{g}_i) = 0$ when $1 \leq U < 2$ and this completes the proof. $\square$

As a consequence of Proposition 3.1, we have

Lemma 3.1. *With the definition at the beginning of this section,*

$$S_R(X, Y; \prod_{i=1}^n \hat{g}_i, \Phi) \ll \frac{X \log^{2n+1} X}{Z}.$$

Proof. On writing $d = l^2 m$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S_R(X, Y; \prod_{i=1}^n \hat{g}_i, \Phi) &= \sum_{\substack{l > Z \\ (l, 2) = 1}} \mu(l) \sum_{(m, 2) = 1} \Phi\left(\frac{l^2 m}{X}\right) E(Y; \chi_{8l^2 m}, \prod_{i=1}^n \hat{g}_i) \\ &\ll \sum_{l > Z} \sum_{X/l^2 \leq m \leq 2X/l^2} \log^{2n+1}(X) \ll \frac{X \log^{2n+1} X}{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

□

3.2. The Term S_M . As explained in Section 2.1, we will evaluate $S_M(X, Y; \prod_{i=1}^n \hat{g}_i, \Phi)$ by applying the Poisson summation formula to the sum over d in $S_M(X, Y; \prod_{i=1}^n \hat{g}_i, \Phi)$. For all odd integers k and all integers m , we introduce the Gauss-type sums

$$\tau_m(k) := \sum_{a \pmod{k}} \left(\frac{a}{k}\right) e\left(\frac{am}{k}\right) =: \left(\frac{1+i}{2} + \left(\frac{-1}{k}\right) \frac{1-i}{2}\right) G_m(k),$$

where $e(x) := e^{2\pi i x}$ as defined before. We quote Lemma 2.3 of [22] which determines $G_m(k)$.

Lemma 3.2. *If $(k_1, k_2) = 1$ then $G_m(k_1 k_2) = G_m(k_1) G_m(k_2)$. Suppose that p^a is the largest power of p dividing m (put $a = \infty$ if $m = 0$). Then for $b \geq 1$ we have*

$$G_m(p^b) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } b \leq a \text{ is odd,} \\ \phi(p^b) & \text{if } b \leq a \text{ is even,} \\ -p^a & \text{if } b = a + 1 \text{ is even,} \\ \left(\frac{m/p^a}{p}\right) p^a \sqrt{p} & \text{if } b = a + 1 \text{ is odd,} \\ 0 & \text{if } b \geq a + 2. \end{cases}$$

For $F \in S(\mathbb{R})$ we define

$$(3.3) \quad \tilde{F}(\xi) = \frac{1+i}{2} \hat{F}(\xi) + \frac{1-i}{2} \hat{F}(-\xi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\cos(2\pi \xi x) + \sin(2\pi \xi x)) F(x) dx.$$

We quote Lemma 2.6 of [22] which determines the inner sum in (2.9).

Lemma 3.3. *Let Φ be a non-negative, smooth function supported in $(1, 2)$. For any odd integer k ,*

$$\sum_{(d, 2) = 1} M_Z(d) \left(\frac{d}{k}\right) \Phi\left(\frac{d}{X}\right) = \frac{X}{2k} \left(\frac{2}{k}\right) \sum_{\substack{\alpha \leq Z \\ (\alpha, 2k) = 1}} \frac{\mu(\alpha)}{\alpha^2} \sum_m (-1)^m G_m(k) \tilde{\Phi}\left(\frac{mX}{2\alpha^2 k}\right).$$

Now we can write $S_M(X, Y; \prod_{i=1}^n \hat{g}_i, \Phi)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} S_M(X, Y; \prod_{i=1}^n \hat{g}_i, \Phi) &= \frac{X}{2} \sum_{\substack{\prod_{i=1}^n p_i \leq Y \\ (2, \prod_{i=1}^n p_i) = 1}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\log p_i}{p_i^{3/2}} \hat{g}_i\left(\frac{\log p_i}{\log X}\right) \right) \\ &\quad \cdot \sum_{\substack{\alpha \leq Z \\ (\alpha, 2 \prod_{i=1}^n p_i) = 1}} \frac{\mu(\alpha)}{\alpha^2} \sum_m (-1)^m G_m\left(\prod_{i=1}^n p_i\right) \tilde{\Phi}\left(\frac{mX}{2\alpha^2 \prod_{i=1}^n p_i}\right). \end{aligned}$$

3.3. The First Main Term. We consider the sum S_0 in $S_M(X, Y; \prod_{i=1}^n \hat{g}_i, \Phi)$ corresponding to the contribution of $m = 0$. It follows directly from the definition that $G_0(k) = \varphi(k)$ if $k = \square$ and $G_0(k) = 0$ otherwise. Thus $S_0 = 0$ when n is odd and for the even n 's,

$$S_0 = \frac{X\hat{\Phi}(0)}{2} \sum_{\substack{\prod_{i=1}^n p_i \leq Y \\ (2, \prod_{i=1}^n p_i)=1 \\ \prod_{i=1}^n p_i = \square}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\log p_i}{p_i^{3/2}} \hat{g}_i\left(\frac{\log p_i}{\log X}\right) \right) \phi\left(\prod_{i=1}^n p_i\right) \sum_{\substack{\alpha \leq Z \\ (\alpha, 2 \prod_{i=1}^n p_i)=1}} \frac{\mu(\alpha)}{\alpha^2}.$$

For a partition of the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ into k subsets $\{I_i\}$ with $|I_i| = \alpha_i$, we denote

$$h_i\left(\frac{\log p}{\log X}\right) = \prod_{j \in I_i} \hat{g}_j\left(\frac{\log p}{\log X}\right).$$

Using the above notation, we can express S_0 as linear combinations of the sums of the following form

$$\begin{aligned} (3.4) \quad & \sum_{\substack{\prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{\alpha_i} \leq Y \\ (2, \prod_{i=1}^k p_i)=1 \\ p_i \neq p_j \\ 2|\alpha_i}} \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{(\log p_i)^{\alpha_i}}{p_i^{3\alpha_i/2}} h_i\left(\frac{\log p_i}{\log X}\right) \phi(p_i^{\alpha_i}) \sum_{\substack{\alpha \leq Z \\ (\alpha, 2 \prod_{i=1}^k p_i)=1}} \frac{\mu(\alpha)}{\alpha^2} \\ &= \frac{8}{\pi^2} \sum_{\substack{\prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{\alpha_i} \leq Y \\ (2, \prod_{i=1}^k p_i)=1 \\ p_i \neq p_j \\ 2|\alpha_i}} \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{(\log p_i)^{\alpha_i}}{p_i^{\alpha_i/2}} h_i\left(\frac{\log p_i}{\log X}\right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{p_i}\right)^{-1} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{Z}\right)\right), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used

$$\sum_{\substack{\alpha \leq Z \\ (\alpha, m)=1}} \frac{\mu(\alpha)}{\alpha^2} = \frac{1}{\zeta(2)} \prod_{p|m} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^2}\right)^{-1} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{Z}\right)\right).$$

If $\alpha_i \geq 4$ for some i then the right-hand side expression in (3.4) is readily seen to be

$$\ll \log^{n-4} X.$$

Since there are $O_n(1)$ partitions of the set $\{1, \dots, n\}$, we can now write S_0 as

$$S_0 = \frac{4X\hat{\Phi}(0)}{\pi^2} \sum_{\substack{(A;B) \\ \prod_{i=1}^{n/2} p_i^2 \leq Y \\ p_i \neq p_j}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n/2} \frac{\log^2 p_i}{p_i} \hat{g}_{a_i}\left(\frac{\log p_i}{\log X}\right) \hat{g}_{b_i}\left(\frac{\log p_i}{\log X}\right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{p_i}\right)^{-1} \right) \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{Z}\right)\right),$$

where $\sum_{(A;B)}$ is over all ways of pairing up the elements of $\{1, \dots, n\}$. By using similar arguments as above, we see that removing the condition $p_i \neq p_j$ introduces an error term of size $\ll X \log^{n-1} X$,

hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.5) \quad S_0 &= \frac{4X\hat{\Phi}(0)}{\pi^2} \sum_{\substack{(A;B) \\ \prod_{i=1}^{n/2} p_i^2 \leq Y}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n/2} \frac{\log^2 p_i}{p_i} \hat{g}_{a_i} \left(\frac{\log p_i}{\log X} \right) \hat{g}_{b_i} \left(\frac{\log p_i}{\log X} \right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{p_i} \right)^{-1} \right) \\
 &\quad \cdot \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{Z}\right) \right) + O(X \log^{n-1} X) \\
 &= \frac{4X \log^n X \hat{\Phi}(0)}{\pi^2} \sum_{(A;B)} \prod_{i=1}^{n/2} \int_0^\infty u_i \hat{g}_{a_i}(u_i) \hat{g}_{b_i}(u_i) du_i \\
 &\quad + O(X \log^{n-1} X + \frac{X \log^n X}{Z}),
 \end{aligned}$$

where the integral on the right-hand side of (3.5) comes from partial summation and Mertens' formula [1, p. 57]

$$\sum_{p \leq x} \frac{\log p}{p} = \log x + O(1),$$

3.4. The Error Term. We now consider the sums in $S_M(X, Y; \prod_{i=1}^n \hat{g}_i, \Phi)$ corresponding to the contribution of $m \neq 0, \square$. Using the notations in Section 3.3, the sums in $S_M(X, Y; \prod_{i=1}^n \hat{g}_i, \Phi)$ corresponding to the contribution of $m \neq 0, \square$ are linear combinations of the sums of the following form

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{\substack{\prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{\alpha_i} \leq Y \\ (2, \prod_{i=1}^k p_i) = 1 \\ p_i \neq p_j}} \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{(\log p_i)^{\alpha_i}}{p_i^{3\alpha_i/2}} h_i \left(\frac{\log p_i}{\log X} \right) \\
 &\cdot \sum_{\substack{\alpha \leq Z \\ (\alpha, 2 \prod_{i=1}^k p_i) = 1}} \frac{\mu(\alpha)}{\alpha^2} \sum_{m \neq 0, \square} (-1)^m G_m(p_i^{\alpha_i}) \tilde{\Phi} \left(\frac{mX}{2\alpha^2 \prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{\alpha_i}} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

There are $O_n(1)$ partitions of the set $\{1, \dots, n\}$ and we now consider the above sum for a fixed partition. Without loss of generality, we may assume there exists integers k_1, k_2 with $0 \leq k_2 \leq k_1 \leq k$ such that for $k_2 < i \leq k$, $\alpha_i \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ and $\alpha_i \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ for $i \leq k_2$; for $k_1 < i \leq k$, $\alpha_i = 1$ and for $k_2 < i \leq k_1$, $\alpha_i \geq 3$. By Lemma 3.2, each m can be written as $m = \prod_{i=k_2+1}^k p_i^{\alpha_i-1} m'$ and we take empty product to be 1. Hence with a slightly change of notation, the sum we are interested in can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
 R &= \sum_{\substack{\alpha \leq Z \\ (\alpha, 2) = 1}} \frac{\mu(\alpha)}{\alpha^2} \sum_{\substack{\prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{\alpha_i} \leq Y \\ (2\alpha, \prod_{i=1}^k p_i) = 1 \\ p_i \neq p_j}} \prod_{i=1}^{k_2} \frac{(\log p_i)^{\alpha_i}}{p_i^{3\alpha_i/2}} h_i \left(\frac{\log p_i}{\log X} \right) \\
 &\quad \cdot \prod_{i=k_2+1}^{k_1} \frac{(\log p_i)^{\alpha_i}}{p_i^{(\alpha_i+1)/2}} h_i \left(\frac{\log p_i}{\log X} \right) \prod_{i=k_1+1}^k \left(\frac{\log p_i}{p_i} \right) h_i \left(\frac{\log p_i}{\log X} \right) \\
 &\quad \cdot \sum_{m \neq 0, \square} (-1)^m \tilde{\Phi} \left(\frac{mX}{2\alpha^2 \prod_{i=1}^{k_2} p_i^{\alpha_i} \prod_{i=k_2+1}^k p_i} \right) \left(\frac{m}{\prod_{i=k_2+1}^k p_i} \right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k_2} G_m(p_i^{\alpha_i}) \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

For any non-principal quadratic character χ with modulus q and for $\prod_{i=1}^n \hat{g}_i$ smooth with support in $\sum_{i=1}^n |u_i| < 2$, we write for $U \leq X^2$,

$$D(U; \chi, \prod_{i=1}^m \hat{g}_i) = \sum_{\substack{\prod_{i=1}^m p_i \leq U \\ p_i \neq p_j}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^m \frac{\log p_i}{\sqrt{p_i}} \chi(p_i) \hat{g}_i \left(\frac{\log p_i}{\log X} \right) \right),$$

and similar to our treatment of $E(U; \chi, \prod_{i=1}^n \hat{g}_i)$ in Section 3.1, one has

$$(3.6) \quad D(U; \chi, \prod_{i=1}^m \hat{g}_i) \ll \log^{2m+1}(qX).$$

Note that

$$\left(\frac{4\alpha^2 (\prod_{i=1}^{k_1} p_i)^2}{\prod_{i=k_1+1}^k p_i} \right) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } (2\alpha \prod_{i=k_1}^{k_1} p_i, \prod_{i=k_1+1}^k p_i) > 1, \\ 1 & \text{if } (2\alpha \prod_{i=1}^{k_1} p_i, \prod_{i=k_1+1}^k p_i) = 1. \end{cases}$$

so that we can express the condition $(2\alpha \prod_{i=1}^{k_1} p_i, \prod_{i=k_1+1}^k p_i) = 1$ by using the character $\chi_{4\alpha^2 (\prod_{i=1}^{k_1} p_i)^2}$. As $\chi_{4\alpha^2 (\prod_{i=1}^{k_1} p_i)^2 m}$ is a non-principal quadratic character and note that $\prod_{i=1}^k h(u_i)$ is supported in $\sum_{i=1}^k |u_i| < 2$ (see Lemma 3.6 below), we obtain by using (3.6) and partial summation, that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{\prod_{i=k_1+1}^k p_i \leq Y / \prod_{i=1}^{k_1} p_i^{\alpha_i} \\ (2\alpha \prod_{i=1}^{k_1} p_i, \prod_{i=k_1+1}^k p_i) = 1 \\ p_i \neq p_j}} \left(\prod_{i=k_1+1}^k \left(\frac{\log p_i}{p_i} \right) h_i \left(\frac{\log p_i}{\log X} \right) \right) \\ & \cdot \tilde{\Phi} \left(\frac{mX}{2\alpha^2 \prod_{i=1}^{k_2} p_i^{\alpha_i} \prod_{i=k_2+1}^k p_i} \right) \left(\frac{m}{\prod_{i=k_1+1}^k p_i} \right) \\ & = \int_1^{Y / \prod_{i=1}^{k_1} p_i^{\alpha_i}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{V}} \tilde{\Phi} \left(\frac{mX}{2\alpha^2 \prod_{i=1}^{k_2} p_i^{\alpha_i} \prod_{i=k_2+1}^{k_1} p_i V} \right) dD(V; \chi_{4\alpha^2 (\prod_{i=1}^{k_1} p_i)^2 m}, \prod_{i=k_1+1}^k h_i) \\ & \ll \log^{2n+1}(X) \log^{2n+1}(|m| + 2) \left(\frac{\sqrt{\prod_{i=1}^{k_1} p_i^{\alpha_i}}}{\sqrt{Y}} \left| \tilde{\Phi} \left(\frac{m \prod_{i=k_2+1}^{k_1} p_i^{\alpha_i-1} X}{2\alpha^2 Y} \right) \right| \right. \\ & \quad + \int_1^{Y / \prod_{i=1}^{k_1} p_i^{\alpha_i}} \frac{1}{V^{3/2}} \left| \tilde{\Phi} \left(\frac{mX}{2\alpha^2 \prod_{i=1}^{k_2} p_i^{\alpha_i} \prod_{i=k_2+1}^{k_1} p_i V} \right) \right| dV \\ & \quad + \int_1^{Y / \prod_{i=1}^{k_1} p_i^{\alpha_i}} \frac{X}{\alpha^2 (\prod_{i=1}^{k_2} p_i^{\alpha_i} \prod_{i=k_2+1}^{k_1} p_i) V^{5/2}} \\ & \quad \cdot \left| m \tilde{\Phi}' \left(\frac{mX}{2\alpha^2 \prod_{i=1}^{k_2} p_i^{\alpha_i} \prod_{i=k_2+1}^{k_1} p_i V} \right) \right| dV \Bigg). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$R \ll \sum_{\alpha \leq Z} \frac{\log^{2n+1}(X)}{\alpha^2} (R_1 + R_2 + R_3),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_1 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{Y}} \sum_{\prod_{i=1}^{k_1} p_i^{\alpha_i} \leq Y} \prod_{i=1}^{k_2} \frac{(\log p_i)^{\alpha_i}}{p_i^{\alpha_i}} \prod_{i=k_2+1}^{k_1} \frac{(\log p_i)^{\alpha_i}}{p_i^{1/2}} \\
 &\quad \cdot \sum_{m \neq 0, \square} \log^{2n+1}(|m|+2) \left| \tilde{\Phi} \left(\frac{m \prod_{i=k_2+1}^{k_1} p_i^{\alpha_i-1} X}{2\alpha^2 Y} \right) \right| \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k_2} |G_m(p_i^{\alpha_i})| \right), \\
 R_2 &= \int_1^Y \frac{1}{V^{3/2}} \sum_{\prod_{i=1}^{k_1} p_i^{\alpha_i} \leq Y} \prod_{i=1}^{k_2} \frac{(\log p_i)^{\alpha_i}}{p_i^{3\alpha_i/2}} \prod_{i=k_2+1}^{k_1} \frac{(\log p_i)^{\alpha_i}}{p_i^{(\alpha_i+1)/2}} \\
 &\quad \cdot \sum_{m \neq 0, \square} \log^{2n+1}(|m|+2) \left| \tilde{\Phi} \left(\frac{mX}{2\alpha^2 \prod_{i=1}^{k_2} p_i^{\alpha_i} \prod_{i=k_2+1}^{k_1} p_i V} \right) \right| \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k_2} |G_m(p_i^{\alpha_i})| \right) dV \\
 R_3 &= \int_1^Y \frac{X}{\alpha^2 V^{5/2}} \sum_{\prod_{i=1}^{k_1} p_i^{\alpha_i} \leq Y} \prod_{i=1}^{k_2} \frac{(\log p_i)^{\alpha_i}}{p_i^{5\alpha_i/2}} \prod_{i=k_2+1}^{k_1} \frac{(\log p_i)^{\alpha_i}}{p_i^{(\alpha_i+3)/2}} \\
 &\quad \cdot \sum_{m \neq 0, \square} \log^{2n+1}(|m|+2) \left| m \tilde{\Phi}' \left(\frac{mX}{2\alpha^2 \prod_{i=1}^{k_2} p_i^{\alpha_i} \prod_{i=k_2+1}^{k_1} p_i V} \right) \right| \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k_2} |G_m(p_i^{\alpha_i})| \right) dV.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now we need a lemma

Lemma 3.4. *For $N > 0, M \geq 2$,*

$$(3.7) \quad \sum_{m \neq 0, \square} \log^{2n+1}(|m|M) \left| \tilde{\Phi} \left(\frac{mM}{N} \right) \right| \ll \left(\log^{2n+1} M(N+2) \right) \frac{UN}{M},$$

$$(3.8) \quad \sum_{m \neq 0, \square} \log^{2n+1}(|m|M) \left| m M \tilde{\Phi}' \left(\frac{mM}{N} \right) \right| \ll \left(\log^{2n+1} M(N+2) \right) \frac{U^2 N^2}{M}.$$

Proof. Observe for $|\xi| < 1$,

$$\tilde{\Phi}(\xi) = \int_1^2 \left(\cos(2\pi\xi x) + \sin(2\pi\xi x) \right) dx + O\left(\frac{1}{U}\right) \ll 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{U}\right),$$

and similarly for any $i \geq 0$,

$$(3.9) \quad \tilde{\Phi}^{(i)}(\xi) \ll 1, \quad |\xi| < 1.$$

Also note via integration by parts,

$$\tilde{\Phi}(\xi) = \frac{-1}{2\pi\xi} \left(\int_1^{1+1/U} + \int_2^{2-1/U} \right) \tilde{\Phi}'(\xi) \left(\sin(2\pi\xi x) - \cos(2\pi\xi x) \right) dx \ll \frac{1}{|\xi|}.$$

Similarly, one can show for any $i \geq 0, j \geq 1$,

$$(3.10) \quad \tilde{\Phi}^{(i)}(\xi) \ll \frac{U^{j-1}}{|\xi|^j}.$$

Now using (3.9) with $i = 0$ and (3.10) with $i = 0, j = 2$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{m \neq 0, \square} \log^{2n+1}(|m|M) \left| \tilde{\Phi}\left(\frac{mM}{N}\right) \right| \\
& \ll \sum_{0 < |mM| \leq N} \left(\log^{2n+1} M + \log^{2n+1}(N+2) \right) \\
& \quad + \sum_{|mM| > N} \left(\log^{2n+1} M + \log^{2n+1}(|m|+2) \right) \frac{UN^2}{m^2 M^2} \\
& \ll \left(\log^{2n+1} M + \log^{2n+1}(N+2) \right) \frac{UN}{M},
\end{aligned}$$

and this proves (3.7). Similarly, using (3.9) with $i = 0$ and (3.10) with $i = 1, j = 3$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{m \neq 0, \square} \log^{2n+1}(|m|M) \left| mM \tilde{\Phi}'\left(\frac{mM}{N}\right) \right| \\
& \ll \sum_{0 < |mM| \leq N} \left(\log^{2n+1} M + \log^{2n+1}(N+2) \right) |mM| \\
& \quad + \sum_{|mM| > N} \left(\log^{2n+1} M + \log^{2n+1}(|m|+2) \right) \frac{U^2 N^3}{m^2 M^2} \\
& \ll \left(\log^{2n+1} M + \log^{2n+1}(N+2) \right) \frac{U^2 N^2}{M},
\end{aligned}$$

which yields the estimation in (3.8). $\square$

By Lemma 3.2 again, we can further divide the set $\{1, \dots, k_2\}$ into the union of two subsets I, J such that each m can be written as $\prod_{i \in I} p_i^{\alpha_i} \prod_{i \in J} p_i^{\alpha_i-1} m'$. There are $O_n(1)$ such partitions and without loss of generality, we now consider the case $m = \prod_{i=1}^{k_3} p_i^{\alpha_i} \prod_{i=k_3+1}^{k_2} p_i^{\alpha_i-1} m'$, for some integer $0 \leq k_3 \leq k_2$ with $(m', \prod_{i=k_3+1}^{k_2} p_i) = 1$ and here we take empty product to be 1. We now bound $G_m(p_i^{\alpha_i}) \ll p_i^{\alpha_i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq k_3$ and $G_m(p_i^{\alpha_i}) \ll p_i^{\alpha_i-1}$ for $k_3+1 \leq i \leq k_2$ by Lemma 3.2 and apply (3.7) with $M = \prod_{i=1}^{k_3} p_i^{\alpha_i} \prod_{i=k_3+1}^{k_2} p_i^{\alpha_i-1}$ and $N = 2\alpha^2 Y / (\prod_{i=k_2+1}^{k_1} p_i^{\alpha_i-1} X)$ to bound the sum over those m 's in R_1 as

$$\ll \frac{U\alpha^2 Y \log^{2n+1} X}{\prod_{i=1}^{k_3} p_i^{\alpha_i} \prod_{i=k_3+1}^{k_1} p_i^{\alpha_i-1} X}.$$

Further note that $\alpha_i \geq 2$ for $1 \leq i \leq k_1$, hence we deduce that

$$R_1 \ll \frac{U\alpha^2 \sqrt{Y} \log^{2n+1} X}{X}.$$

Similarly, by using the bound $G_m(p_i^{\alpha_i}) \ll p_i^{\alpha_i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq k_3$ and $G_m(p_i^{\alpha_i}) \ll p_i^{\alpha_i-1}$ for $k_3+1 \leq i \leq k_2$ and apply (3.7) with $M = \prod_{i=1}^{k_3} p_i^{\alpha_i} \prod_{i=k_3+1}^{k_2} p_i^{\alpha_i-1}$ and $N = 2\alpha^2 \prod_{i=1}^{k_2} p_i^{\alpha_i} \prod_{i=k_2+1}^{k_1} p_i V/X$, we can bound the sum over those m 's in R_2 as

$$\ll \frac{U\alpha^2 V (\prod_{i=k_3+1}^{k_1} p_i) \log^{2n+1} X}{X}.$$

From this and that $\alpha_i \geq 3$ for $k_2+1 \leq i \leq k_1$, we deduce that

$$R_2 \ll \frac{U\alpha^2 \sqrt{Y} \log^{3n+1} X}{X}.$$

Lastly, we bound the sum over those m 's in R_3 by applying (3.8) with $M = \prod_{i=1}^{k_3} p_i^{\alpha_i} \prod_{i=k_3+1}^{k_2} p_i^{\alpha_i-1}$ and $N = 2\alpha^2 \prod_{i=1}^{k_2} p_i^{\alpha_i} \prod_{i=k_2+1}^{k_1} p_i V/X$ to be

$$\ll \frac{U^2 \alpha^4 (\prod_{i=1}^{k_2} p_i^{\alpha_i} \prod_{i=k_2+1}^{k_1} p_i) (\prod_{i=k_3+1}^{k_1} p_i) V^2 \log^{2n+1} X}{X^2},$$

which yields

$$R_3 \ll \frac{U^2 \alpha^2 \sqrt{Y} \log^{3n+1} X}{X}.$$

Combining the estimations above, we obtain

$$R \ll \frac{U^2 Z \sqrt{Y} \log^{5n+2} X}{X}.$$

3.5. The Second Main Term. Using the notations in Section 3.3, the sums corresponding to the contribution of $m = \square$ in $S_M(X, Y; \prod_{i=1}^n \hat{g}_i, \Phi)$ are linear combinations of the sums of the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{\prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{\alpha_i} \leq Y \\ (2, \prod_{i=1}^k p_i) = 1 \\ p_i \neq p_j}} \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{(\log p_i)^{\alpha_i}}{p_i^{3\alpha_i/2}} h_i\left(\frac{\log p_i}{\log X}\right) \\ & \cdot \sum_{\substack{\alpha \leq Z \\ (\alpha, 2 \prod_{i=1}^k p_i) = 1}} \frac{\mu(\alpha)}{\alpha^2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (-1)^m G_m(p_i^{\alpha_i}) \tilde{\Phi}\left(\frac{m^2 X}{2\alpha^2 \prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{\alpha_i}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Without loss of generality, we focus first on the case where there exists an integer k_1 with $0 \leq k_1 \leq k$ such that for $k_1 < i \leq k$, $\alpha_i \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ and $\alpha_i \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ for $i \leq k_1$. By Lemma 3.2, each m^2 can be written as $m^2 = \prod_{i=1}^{k_1} p_i^{\alpha_i} \prod_{i=k_1+1}^k p_i^{\alpha_i-1} (m')^2$ and we take empty product to be 1. Thus the sum we are interested now becomes, by a slightly change of notation,

$$\begin{aligned} (3.11) \quad & \sum_{\substack{\prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{\alpha_i} \leq Y \\ (2, \prod_{i=1}^k p_i) = 1 \\ p_i \neq p_j}} \prod_{i=1}^{k_1} \frac{(\log p_i)^{\alpha_i}}{p_i^{3\alpha_i/2}} \phi(p_i^{\alpha_i}) h_i\left(\frac{\log p_i}{\log X}\right) \prod_{i=k_1+1}^k \frac{(\log p_i)^{\alpha_i}}{p_i^{(\alpha_i+1)/2}} h_i\left(\frac{\log p_i}{\log X}\right) \\ & \cdot \sum_{\substack{\alpha \leq Z \\ (\alpha, 2 \prod_{i=1}^k p_i) = 1}} \frac{\mu(\alpha)}{\alpha^2} \sum_{\substack{m=1 \\ (m, \prod_{i=k_1+1}^k p_i) = 1}}^{\infty} (-1)^m \tilde{\Phi}\left(\frac{m^2 X}{2\alpha^2 \prod_{i=k_1+1}^k p_i}\right) \\ & = \sum_{\substack{\prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{\alpha_i} \leq Y \\ (2, \prod_{i=1}^k p_i) = 1 \\ p_i \neq p_j}} \prod_{i=1}^{k_1} \frac{(\log p_i)^{\alpha_i}}{p_i^{3\alpha_i/2}} \phi(p_i^{\alpha_i}) h_i\left(\frac{\log p_i}{\log X}\right) \prod_{i=k_1+1}^k \frac{(\log p_i)^{\alpha_i}}{p_i^{(\alpha_i+1)/2}} h_i\left(\frac{\log p_i}{\log X}\right) \\ & \cdot \sum_{\substack{\alpha \leq Z \\ (\alpha, 2 \prod_{i=1}^k p_i) = 1}} \frac{\mu(\alpha)}{\alpha^2} \sum_{d \mid \prod_{i=k_1+1}^k p_i} \mu(d) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (-1)^m \tilde{\Phi}\left(\frac{d^2 m^2 X}{2\alpha^2 \prod_{i=k_1+1}^k p_i}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where we have expressed the condition $(m, \prod_{i=k_1+1}^k p_i) = 1$ as $\sum_{d \mid (m, \prod_{i=k_1+1}^k p_i)} \mu(d)$. To treat the sum over m above, we need the following lemma:

Lemma 3.5. For $y > 0$,

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (-1)^m \tilde{\Phi}\left(\frac{m^2}{y^2}\right) = -\frac{\hat{\Phi}(0)}{2} + O\left(\frac{U}{y}\right).$$

Proof. For $y > 0$, we write

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (-1)^m \tilde{\Phi}\left(\frac{m^2}{y^2}\right) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^m \tilde{\Phi}\left(\frac{m^2}{y^2}\right) - \frac{1}{2} \hat{\Phi}(0) = \frac{S(y) - \hat{\Phi}(0)}{2}.$$

Define

$$F = \tilde{\Phi}(x^2),$$

then by Poisson summation,

$$\begin{aligned} S(y) &= \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^m F\left(\frac{m}{y}\right) = 2 \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} F\left(\frac{2m}{y}\right) - \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} F\left(\frac{m}{y}\right) \\ &= 2 \sum_{\nu=-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{F}\left(\frac{y\nu}{2}\right) \frac{y}{2} - \sum_{\nu=-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{F}(y\nu) y = y \sum_{\substack{\nu=-\infty \\ (\nu,2)=1}}^{\infty} \hat{F}\left(\frac{y\nu}{2}\right) \\ &= y \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{\Phi}(x^2) e(-xy(2m+1)/2) dx \\ &= 4y \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \tilde{\Phi}(x^2) \cos(\pi y(2m+1)x) dx \\ &= 4y \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \tilde{\Phi}(x^2) d \frac{\sin(\pi y(2m+1)x)}{\pi y(2m+1)} \\ &= -\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2m+1} \int_0^{\infty} 2x \tilde{\Phi}'(x^2) \sin((2m+1)\pi yx) dx \\ &= \frac{2}{\pi^2 y} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2m+1)^2} \int_0^{\infty} x \tilde{\Phi}'(x^2) d \cos((2m+1)\pi yx) \\ &= \frac{-2}{\pi^2 y} \int_0^{\infty} \left(\tilde{\Phi}'(x^2) + 2x^2 \tilde{\Phi}''(x^2) \right) \left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\cos((2m+1)\pi yx)}{(2m+1)^2} \right) dx \\ &\ll \frac{1}{y} \int_0^{\infty} \left(|\tilde{\Phi}'(x^2)| + |x^2 \tilde{\Phi}''(x^2)| \right) dx \ll \frac{1}{y} \left(\int_0^1 1 + \int_1^{\infty} \frac{U}{x^2} \right) dx \ll \frac{U}{y}. \end{aligned}$$

The last step above follows from (3.9) and (3.10) with $i = 1, 2, j = 2$ and this completes the proof. $\square$

We now divide the set $\{k_1 + 1, \dots, k\}$ into the union of two subsets I, J and set $d = \prod_{i \in I} p_i$. Here we take empty product to be 1. When $\prod_{j \in J} p_i \leq X \prod_{j \in I} p_i$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left| \tilde{\Phi}\left(\frac{m^2 X \prod_{i \in I} p_i}{2\alpha^2 \prod_{i \in J} p_i}\right) \right| \\ &\ll \sum_{m^2 X \prod_{i \in I} p_i < 2\alpha^2 \prod_{i \in J} p_i} 1 + \sum_{m^2 X \prod_{i \in I} p_i \geq 2\alpha^2 \prod_{i \in J} p_i} \frac{\alpha^2 \prod_{i \in J} p_i}{m^2 X \prod_{i \in I} p_i} \\ &\ll \frac{\alpha \sqrt{\prod_{i \in J} p_i}}{\sqrt{X \prod_{i \in I} p_i}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence these terms contribute to the right-hand side of (3.11) of order

$$\ll \log Z \log^{n-1} X.$$

When $\prod_{j \in J} p_j > X \prod_{j \in I} p_j$, by our discussion above,

$$(3.12) \quad \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (-1)^m \tilde{\Phi}\left(\frac{m^2 X \prod_{i \in I} p_i}{2\alpha^2 \prod_{i \in J} p_i}\right) = -\frac{\hat{\Phi}(0)}{2} + O\left(\frac{U \sqrt{X \prod_{i \in I} p_i}}{\alpha \sqrt{\prod_{i \in J} p_i}}\right).$$

The error term above contribute to the right-hand side of (3.11) of order

$$\ll U \log^{n-1} X.$$

It's also easy to see that if $\alpha_i > 2$ for $i \leq k_1$ or $\alpha_i > 1$ for $k_1 < i \leq k$ then the first term on the right-hand side of (3.12) will contribute to the right-hand side of (3.11) of order

$$\ll \log^{n-1} X.$$

Thus the main contribution to the right-hand side of (3.11) comes from the case $\alpha_i = 2, i \leq k_1, \alpha_i = 1, k_1 < i \leq k$, which corresponds to a partition of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ into two subsets S, S^c with S^c nonempty, $|S|$ even and a way of pairing up the elements of S . Hence by partial summation, we can write the sums in $S_M(X, Y; \prod_{i=1}^n \hat{g}_i, \Phi)$ corresponding to the contribution of $m = \square$ as

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\square} &= -\frac{2X \log^n X \hat{\Phi}(0)}{\pi^2} \sum_{\substack{S \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\} \\ |S| \text{ even}}} \left(\sum_{(A;B)} \prod_{i=1}^{|S|/2} \int_0^{\infty} u_i \hat{g}_{a_i}(u_i) \hat{g}_{b_i}(u_i) du_i \right) \\ &\quad \cdot \sum_{I \subseteq S^c} (-1)^{|I|} \prod_{i \in I} \int_0^{\infty} \hat{g}_i(u_i) du_i \int_{\sum_{i \in I} u_i \leq \sum_{i \in I^c} u_i - 1}^{\infty} \prod_{i \in I^c} \hat{g}_i(u_i) du_i \\ &\quad + O\left(X(U + \log Z) \log^{n-1} X + \frac{X \log^n X}{Z}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\sum_{(A;B)}$ is over all ways of pairing up the elements of S and we note here removing the condition $p_i \neq p_j$ on the right-hand side of (3.11) only introduces an error term of $O(X \log^{n-1} X)$.

3.6. The Asymptotic Expression. Putting together what we obtain and note that $\hat{\Phi}(0) = 1 + O(1/U)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &S(X, Y; \prod_{i=1}^n \hat{g}_i, \Phi) \\ &= \frac{4X \log^n X}{\pi^2} \left(\frac{1 + (-1)^n}{2} \right) \sum_{(A;B)} \prod_{i=1}^{n/2} \int_0^{\infty} u_i \hat{g}_{a_i}(u_i) \hat{g}_{b_i}(u_i) du_i \\ &\quad - \frac{2X \log^n X}{\pi^2} \sum_{\substack{S \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\} \\ |S| \text{ even}}} \left(\sum_{(C;D)} \prod_{i=1}^{|S|/2} \int_0^{\infty} u_i \hat{g}_{c_i}(u_i) \hat{g}_{d_i}(u_i) du_i \right) \\ &\quad \cdot \sum_{I \subseteq S^c} (-1)^{|I|} \prod_{i \in I} \int_0^{\infty} \hat{g}_i(u_i) du_i \int_{\sum_{i \in I} u_i \leq \sum_{i \in I^c} u_i - 1}^{\infty} \prod_{i \in I^c} \hat{g}_i(u_i) du_i \\ &\quad + O\left(X(U + \log Z) \log^{n-1} X + \frac{X \log^n X}{U} + \frac{X \log^{2n+1} X}{Z}\right) \\ &\quad + O\left(\frac{U^2 Z \sqrt{Y} \log^{5n+2} X}{X}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\sum_{(A;B)}$ is over all ways of pairing up the elements of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ and $\sum_{(C;D)}$ is over all ways of pairing up the elements of S .

We now apply similar argument to the new choice $\Phi_1(t) = 1 - \Phi(t)$ for $1 \leq t \leq 2$ and $\Phi_1(t) = 0$ otherwise and by taking $Y = X^{2-\epsilon}$, $\epsilon = \frac{10(n+1)\log\log X}{\log X}$, $U = \log\log X$, $Z = \log^{n+2} X$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& S(X, Y; \prod_{i=1}^n \hat{g}_i) \\
&= \frac{4X \log^n X}{\pi^2} \left(\frac{1 + (-1)^n}{2} \right) \sum_{(A;B)} \prod_{i=1}^{n/2} \int_0^\infty u_i \hat{g}_{a_i}(u_i) \hat{g}_{b_i}(u_i) du_i \\
&\quad - \frac{2X \log^n X}{\pi^2} \sum_{\substack{S \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\} \\ |S| \text{ even}}} \left(\sum_{(C;D)} \prod_{i=1}^{|S|/2} \int_0^\infty u_i \hat{g}_{c_i}(u_i) \hat{g}_{d_i}(u_i) du_i \right) \\
&\quad \cdot \sum_{I \subseteq S^c} (-1)^{|I|} \prod_{i \in I} \int_0^\infty \hat{g}_i(u_i) du_i \int_{\sum_{i \in I} u_i \leq \sum_{i \in I^c} u_i - 1}^{(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})^{I^c}} \prod_{i \in I^c} \hat{g}_i(u_i) du_i \\
&\quad + O \left(X (\log\log X)^2 \log^{n-1} X + \frac{X \log^n X}{\log\log X} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

From the above we deduce easily that

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.13) \quad & \lim_{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\pi^2}{4X \log^n X} S(X, Y; \prod_{i=1}^n \hat{g}_i) \\
&= \left(\frac{1 + (-1)^n}{2} \right) \sum_{(A;B)} \prod_{i=1}^{n/2} \int_0^\infty u_i \hat{g}_{a_i}(u_i) \hat{g}_{b_i}(u_i) du_i \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{S \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\} \\ |S| \text{ even}}} \left(\sum_{(C;D)} \prod_{i=1}^{|S|/2} \int_0^\infty u_i \hat{g}_{c_i}(u_i) \hat{g}_{d_i}(u_i) du_i \right) \\
&\quad \cdot \sum_{I \subseteq S^c} (-1)^{|I|} \prod_{i \in I} \int_0^\infty \hat{g}_i(u_i) du_i \int_{\sum_{i \in I} u_i \leq \sum_{i \in I^c} u_i - 1}^{(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})^{I^c}} \prod_{i \in I^c} \hat{g}_i(u_i) du_i.
\end{aligned}$$

3.7. Conclusion. We continue the discussion from Section 2.1 here. To consider the contribution of the product of the D_l 's, we need the following lemma [19, Claim 1] :

Lemma 3.6. *Suppose $\prod_{i=1}^n \hat{f}_i(u_i)$ is supported in $\sum_{i=1}^n |u_i| \leq \alpha$. Then $\prod_{j=1}^k \hat{F}_{l_j}(u_j)$ is supported in $\sum_{j=1}^k |u_j| \leq \alpha$.*

Now by the above lemma and (3.13), the product of the D_l 's considered in the paragraph below Proposition 2.1 contributes a factor of

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{S_2 \subseteq S} \left(\left(\frac{-1}{2} \right)^{|S_2^c|} \prod_{l \in S_2^c} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{F}_l(u) du \right) \cdot (-2)^{|S_2|} \\ & \cdot \left(\left(\frac{1 + (-1)^{|S_2|}}{2} \right) \sum_{(A;B)} \prod_{i=1}^{|S_2|/2} \int_0^\infty u_i \hat{F}_{a_i}(u_i) \hat{F}_{b_i}(u_i) du_i \right. \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{S_3 \subsetneq S_2 \\ |S_3| \text{ even}}} \left(\sum_{(C;D)} \prod_{i=1}^{|S_3|/2} \int_0^\infty u_i \hat{F}_{c_i}(u_i) \hat{F}_{d_i}(u_i) du_i \right) \\ & \cdot \sum_{I \subsetneq S_3^c} (-1)^{|I|} \prod_{i \in I} \int_0^\infty \hat{F}_i(u_i) du_i \int_{\sum_{i \in I} u_i \leq \sum_{i \in I^c} u_i - 1}^{(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})^{I^c}} \prod_{i \in I^c} \hat{F}_i(u_i) du_i \Bigg). \end{aligned}$$

Here $\sum_{(A;B)}$ is over all ways of pairing up the elements of S_2 and $\sum_{(C;D)}$ is over all ways of pairing up the elements of S_3 . From this we find that (2.6) tends, as $X \rightarrow \infty$, to the right-hand side of (2.3).

To conclude the proof of Theorem 2.1, it is left to show that dropping the term $O(\log \log X / \log X)$ won't affect our discussion above, and this is given by the following lemma [19, Lemma 2] :

Lemma 3.7. *Let $a_l(d) = \sum_{\gamma_{8d}} F_l(L\gamma_{8d}^{(j)})$, with $F_l(x) = \prod_{i \in F_l} f_i(x)$, then*

$$\lim_{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\pi^2}{4X} \sum_{d \in D(X)} \prod_{l=1}^{\nu(F)} a_l(d) = \lim_{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\pi^2}{4X} \sum_{d \in D(X)} \prod_{l=1}^{\nu(F)} \left(a_l(d) + O\left(\log \log X / \log X\right) \right).$$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The results in this paper are part of the author's doctoral thesis at the University of Michigan (2005). We would like to thank both Professor Hugh Montgomery and Professor Kannan Soundararajan for their constant encouragements. We would also like to thank Professor Kannan Soundararajan for suggesting the problem to the author and many helpful conversations that lead to the results of the paper. Part of the writing of the paper was carried out while the author was visiting the American Institute of Mathematics in Fall 2005. The author thanks the American Institute of Mathematics for its generous support and hospitality. The author is also very grateful to Professor Brian Conrey for his encouragements during the author's visit at AIM and to Professor David Farmer for his NSF grant DMS-0244660 which made the author's visit to AIM possible.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Davenport, *Multiplicative Number Theory*, Springer Verlag, New York, 1980.
- [2] E. Dueñez and S. J. Miller, The low lying zeros of a $GL(4)$ and a $GL(6)$ family of L -functions, *Compos. Math.*, **142** (2006), 1403-1425.
- [3] P. Gao, n -level density of the low-lying zeros of quadratic Dirichlet L -functions, Ph.D. dissertation, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, 2005.
- [4] S. Gelbart, An elementary introduction to the Langlands program, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.(N.S.)*, **10** (1984), 177-219.
- [5] A. M. Güloğlu, Low-lying zeroes of symmetric power L -functions, *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, **2005** (2005), 517-550.
- [6] C. Hughes and S. J. Miller, Low-lying zeros of L -functions with orthogonal symmetry, *Duke Math. J.*, **136** (2007), 115-172.
- [7] H. Iwaniec, W. Luo and P. Sarnak, Low lying zeros of families of L -functions, *Inst. Hautes études Sci. Publ. Math.*, **91** (2000), 55-131.

- [8] N. Katz and P. Sarnak, *Random Matrices, Frobenius Eigenvalues, and Monodromy*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ. **45**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1999.
- [9] N. Katz and P. Sarnak, Zeroes of zeta functions and symmetry, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, **36** (1999), 1-26.
- [10] A. W. Knap, "Introduction to the Langlands program" in *Representation Theory and Automorphic Forms (Edinburgh, 1996)*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **61**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1997, 245-302.
- [11] S. Lang, *Real and functional analysis*, Springer Verlag, New York, 1993.
- [12] J.H. van Lint and R.M. Wilson, *A course in combinatorics*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1992.
- [13] M. L. Mehta, *Random Matrices*, Academic Press, Boston, 1991.
- [14] S. J. Miller, One- and two-level densities for families of elliptic curves: evidence for underlying group symmetries, *Compos. Math.*, **140** (2004), 952-992.
- [15] H. L. Montgomery, "The pair correlation of zeros of the zeta function" in *Analytic Number Theory (St. Louis, Mo., 1972)*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **24**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1973, 181-193.
- [16] M.R. Murty, "A motivated introduction to the Langlands program" in *Advances in Number Theory (Kingston, Ontario, 1991)*, Oxford Sci. Publ., Oxford Univ. Press, New York, 1993, 37-66.
- [17] A. Özlük and C. Snyder, On the distribution of the nontrivial zeros of quadratic L -functions close to the real axis, *Acta Arith.*, **91** (1999), 209-228.
- [18] M. Rubinstein, Evidence for a spectral interpretation of the zeros of L -functions, Ph.D. thesis, Princeton Univ., (1998).
- [19] M. Rubinstein, Low-lying zeros of L -functions and random matrix theory, *Duke Math. J.*, **109** (2001), 147-181.
- [20] Z. Rudnick and P. Sarnak, Zeros of principal L -functions and random matrix theory, *Duke Math. J.*, **81** (1996), 269-322.
- [21] R. Rumely, Numerical computations concerning the ERH, *Math. Comp.*, **61** (1993), 415-440.
- [22] K. Soundararajan, Nonvanishing of quadratic Dirichlet L -functions at $s = \frac{1}{2}$, *Ann. of Math. (2)*, **152** (2000), 447-488.

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER AND MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO AT SCARBOROUGH,
1265 MILITARY TRAIL, TORONTO ONTARIO, CANADA M1C 1A4

E-mail address: penggao@utsc.utoronto.ca